



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/659,481		09/11/2000	Donald Adams	Q00-1032-US1	Q00-1032-US1 5650	
32093	7590	11/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		Γ SERVICES	RODRIGUEZ, GLENDA P			
4525 GLEN BELLINGI		OWS PLACE A 98226		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	·····			2651		
·				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/659,481	ADAMS ET AL.					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Glenda P. Rodriguez	2651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOP REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	,					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	etion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the Arguments done by the Applicant in Paper dated 9/24/2004 with respect to Claims 1-10, 14-27, 29, 30, 35, 36, 50-89, the Examiner cannot concur because Ton-That does teach a group of coherent data segments (Fig. 5B, 508, feature data segments that are adjacently together. See also Col. 10, L. 34-68, Ton-That teaches in Element 504 wherein it possesess the features of phase and coherence within the servo segments, which in turn is also related to the manner the data segments are placed as well. Applicant also argues that the Ton-That does not include in a preamble portion one or more tracks. Examiner cannot concur with the Applicant because firstly, the Applicant does not distinctively disclose the length of the data track or segment in the Claim and secondly, the feture of the "preamble" is not discussed within the limitations of the Claim.

SINH TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER